BEFORE THE ARIZONA REGULATORY BOARD OF PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS

In the Matter of

SANDRA L. MCCARTHY, P.A.

Holder of License No. **2116**For Practice as a Physician Assistant In the State of Arizona.

Case No. PA-03-0025A

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER FOR A LETTER OF REPRIMAND

The Arizona Regulatory Board of Physician Assistants ("Board") considered this matter at its public meeting on May 18, 2005. Sandra L. McCarthy, P.A. ("Respondent") appeared before the Board with legal counsel Stephen Myers for a formal interview pursuant to the authority vested in the Board by A.R.S. § 32-2551. The Board voted to issue the following findings of fact, conclusions of law and order after due consideration of the facts and law applicable to this matter.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The Board is the duly constituted authority for the regulation and control of physician assistants in the State of Arizona.
- 2. Respondent is the holder of license number 2116 for the performance of healthcare tasks in the State of Arizona.
- 3. The Board initiated case number PA-03-0025A after receiving a complaint alleging Respondent inappropriately obtained samples of a prescription drug and supplied them to her husband, also a physician assistant, who provided them to someone else.
- 4. Respondent testified it was wrong for her to take the samples that were for patient use and that she is very remorseful for her action. Respondent testified she

impulsively took the samples only for her husband's use. Respondent noted she had no knowledge that her husband gave the samples to anyone else until four months later. Respondent testified that one year later her husband's former employer filed a lawsuit against her and her husband to claim damages. Respondent stated her fear that any information she gave to the Board would be used in that lawsuit led her to initially be less forthcoming with the Board. Respondent noted her attorney at the time did not advise her that anything she told the Board was confidential and could not be used in the civil litigation.

- 5. Respondent testified that two months after she made the initial statement to the Board she voluntarily submitted a completely forthright statement with complete disclosure. Respondent stated she had learned a lot from the experience and her actions were unquestionably wrong. Respondent testified she has been a nurse since 1981 and a PA since 1998 and has never had a complaint of any kind filed against her. Respondent testified she is dedicated to her patients and is a competent provider.
- 6. Respondent was asked if she believed there was any medical necessity to her husband trying the drug. Respondent testified there was not. Respondent was asked why then did she bring the samples home at her husband's request. Respondent testified she did not question her husband and when he asked for the drug, she brought it home.
- 7. Respondent was asked to go over the circumstances leading to her filing the second response to the Board. Respondent testified she initially gave her statement to the Board in October claiming that sometime in March 2002 she removed three sample boxes each containing three tablets from a storage cabinet at the cardiology practice

where she works. Respondent's statement also claimed she gave the tablets to her husband for his personal use. According to the original statement her husband used one tablet, developed a headache and did not use the remainder. Respondent's original statement also claimed that without her knowledge her husband gave the remaining tablets to another physician.

- 8. Respondent's revised statement claimed she removed six sample boxes, each containing three tablets from the cardiology office where she works and gave them to her husband for his personal use. Respondent's revised statement claimed she was aware her husband had given the open sample package and two full packages to the other physician. However, Respondent's revised statement informed the Board that she became aware in August 2002 that her husband gave the remaining three sample packages to another man. Respondent testified she filed the second statement after agonizing about having lied in her first statement to the Board.
- 9. Respondent was asked if there had been any changes at the medical practice where she is employed regarding the use of samples. Respondent testified some samples are now stored in a locked cabinet. Respondent was asked if there was a ledger in the office where she would have documented when she gave samples to patients. Respondent said there was not, but she would document it in the patient's chart.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The Board on the Regulation of Physician Assistants possesses jurisdiction over the subject matter hereof and over Respondent.

24 25

23

2. The Board has received substantial evidence supporting the Findings of Fact described above and said findings constitute unprofessional conduct or other grounds for the Board to take disciplinary action.

3. The conduct and circumstances above constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-2501(21)(i) ("[p]rescribing or dispensing controlled substances or prescription-only drugs for which the physician assistant is not approved or in excess of the amount authorized pursuant to this chapter"); 32-2501(21)(j) ("[a]ny conduct or practice that is or might be harmful or dangerous to the health of a patient or the public"); 32-2501(21)(p) ("[f]ailing or refusing to maintain adequate records on a patient"); 32-2501(21)(s) ("[p]rescribing, dispensing or administering any controlled substance or prescription-only drug for other than accepted therapeutic purposes"); 32-2501(21)(bb) ("[k]nowingly making a false or misleading statement on a form required by the board or in written correspondence or attachments furnished to the board"); and 32-2501(21)(kk) ("[p]rescribing, dispensing or furnishing a prescription medication or a prescription-only device as defined in section 32-1901 to a person unless the licensee first conducts a physical examination of that person or has previously established a professional relationship with the person. . . ").

ORDER

Based upon the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that

Respondent is issued a Letter of Reprimand for inappropriately dispensing a prescription-only medication and for the remaining violations listed above.

RIGHT TO PETITION FOR REHEARING

Respondent is hereby notified that she has the right to petition for a rehearing. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1092.09, as amended, the petition for rehearing must be filed with the Board's Executive Director within thirty (30) days after service of this Order and

24

25

pursuant to A.A.C. R4-17-403, it must set forth legally sufficient reasons for granting a rehearing. Service of this order is effective five (5) days after date of mailing. If a motion for rehearing is not filed, the Board's Order becomes effective thirty-five (35) days after it is mailed to Respondent.

Respondent is further notified that the filing of a motion for rehearing is required to preserve any rights of appeal to the Superior Court.

DATED this 8th day of February, 2006



ARIZONA REGULATORY BOARD OF PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS

TIMOTHY C. MILLER, J.D. Executive Director

Original of the foregoing filed this day of Assurer, 2006 with:

Arizona Regulatory Board of Physician Assistants 9545 East Doubletree Ranch Road Scottsdale, Arizona 85258

Executed copy of the foregoing mailed by U.S. certified mail this day of to:

Stephen Myers Myers & Jenkins, PC 3003 North Central Avenue – Suite 1900 Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2910

. 1
2
. 3
. 4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
2:0
21
22
23
24

Executed copy of the foregoing mailed by U.S. mail this day of Fally 2006, to:
Sandra L. McCarthy, P.A. Address of Record
Alle Jean brown